

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Applicant submits a substitute specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.125. The substitute specification includes four changes and no new matter. The first change in the substitute specification includes deleting the term “~~voice~~” in every instance where “voice” is in front of the term “recognition” throughout the specification, and inserting the term “speech” in the deleted term’s place. This change is being made in accordance with the Examiner’s recommendation. The term “voice” as originally submitted includes the term “speech.” Accordingly, no new matter has been added to the application by substituting the term “speech” for the term “voice.”

The second change in the substitute specification includes a discrepancy between the number of paragraphs in the original application and the number of paragraphs in the published application. The original application contained thirty-six numbered paragraphs. However, the application as published contains thirty-nine numbered paragraphs. The correct number of paragraphs in the application is thirty-six. The substitute specification has thirty six paragraphs, compiled as originally submitted. Accordingly, no new matter has been added to the application by resubmitting the original number configuration.

The third change in the substitute specification is a correction to a typographical error. In paragraph 24, the last sentence, unit 130 was inadvertently called the “console control” rather than the “control console.” The improperly placed “console” is deleted and the word “console” is added between control and 130 as shown below:

After giving the two verbal commands, the surgeon may then control the functionality of the ~~console~~ control console 130 with the foot-controlled console 120.

Accordingly, the term “console control” was equivalent to the term “control console” at the time of filing and no new matter has been added to the application by the substitute specification.

The fourth change in the substitute specification is also a correction to a typographical error. In paragraph 34, last sentence, the term “using” is deleted. The term “using” is a typographical error and was inadvertently submitted as part of the application. The inadvertent term is as follows:

The additional information may be displayed ~~using~~ in the form of text, icons, or preferably drop-down menus, for example.

Accordingly, the term “using” was a typographical error at the time of filing and no new matter has been added to the application by the substitute specification.

A marked up version of the substitute specification showing all the changes (including the matter being added to and the matter being deleted from) to the specification of record is submitted. Moreover, a clean version of the substitute specification is submitted without markings as to the amended material.